

PTOL-413A (12-08)

Approved for use through 01/31/2009. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/824,074-Conf. #7118

First Named Applicant: Ling Long Chen

Examiner: C. H. Arcos

Art 2195

Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

- (1) Aly Dossa (2) John Garza
 (3) Examiner C. H. Arcos (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: March 16, 2010

Proposed Time: 3:00 (PM EST)

Type of Interview Requested:

- (1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej 103	1, 17, 32	McCarthy, Riddle, Waldspurger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Rej 112	9		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

Please see attached Agenda.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Aly Z. Dossa

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

63,372

Registration Number, if applicable

Agenda for Examiner Interview

Application Serial No. 10/824,074.

Participants: Aly Dossa

John Garza

Examiner C. Arcos

Proposed Date/Time: March 16, 2010, 3:00 PM Eastern Time

During the telephonic interview, Applicants would like to discuss the following:

1. A brief overview of the claimed invention.
2. A discussion of the rejection of claim 9 as being indefinite. Applicants would like to discuss arguments presented on page 9 of the Response to Office Action filed on November 9, 2009.
3. A discussion of the teachings of the referenced prior art as applied to the independent claims. In particular, Applicants wish to discuss which portions of the prior art the Examiner asserts disclose the following limitations:
 - a. A container residing in a resource pool along with a different container.
 - b. A plurality of resource pools included in a computer system.
 - c. A resource pool associated with one of a plurality of processor sets of the computer system, and allocated a portion of a first resource.
 - d. Determining whether the portion of the first resource allocated to the container does not exceed the portion of the first resource allocated to the resource pool.